

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,379	12/06/2005	Nicolas Delorme	280952US2PCT	2886
22850 7590 07/06/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			HE, AMY	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2858	
	•		•	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			07/06/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

TH

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notice of Alexander and	10/559,379	DELORME ET AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Amy He	2858			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on), which is after the expiration of the			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);				
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) No reply has been received.					
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 		the statutory period of three months			
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.				
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	iired by, and within the three-month բ	period set in, the Notice of			
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.					
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire interest, or all of			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR			
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair 		e the period for seeking court review			
7. 🛛 The reason(s) below:					
Examiner contacted applicant's representative Surir application was confirmed.	nder Sachar on June 21, 2007. Th	ne abandonment of the instant			
Walter E PRIMA	BENSON, PHD, P.E. BY EXAMINER	Examiner: Amy He (571)272-2230			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to			